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TOPICS RAISED & DISCUSSED

1. Alcoa to provide solutions.  
   Glen Turner

2. Business

3. Business

4. Community Consultation  
   Karen Liddiard

5. Environment

6. Environment  
   Mike Walmsley

7. Environmental issues

8. Health  
   Jean Branchi

9. Health  
   Geoff Cattach

    Karen Liddiard

11. Honesty and Fairness : Integrity  
    Margaret McKay

12. Land Management  
    Geoff Cattach

13. Land Values  
    Karen Lidiard

14. Residue  
    Geoff Cattach

15. Trains and train movements  
    Geoff Cattach

16. Land Management

17. Appendix : AWAWA Group

18. Land Management  
    David McKittrick

19. Emissions  
    David Hanham

20. Tourism & Yarloop Socio-Economic  
    Brian Doy

21. Environment & Opportunities for the Community

      Alex Jovanivich

   2. Local employment.  
      Audrey Smith

   3. Alcoa designated an “A” zone – when is Alcoa going to be GENUINE about negotiating with 
      the landowners about requiring the land?  
      Aldo Caratti

   4. The emissions are making people sick and what is Alcoa doing to establish independent 
      assessments.  
      Karen Liddiard

   5. That our farm has been devalued and cannot be sold due to Alcoa’s emissions concerning 
      potential buyers.  
      Karen Liddiard

   6. Human rights.
7. Never look back, only stumble forward – people we don’t see – consultation.
   Steve Bunce

8. Odour; immediate & long-term health issues; Land values surrounding refinery & Alcoa’s reluctance to resolve those issues; Consultation process is inadequate – today is typical of Alcoa’s patronage approach to us, the community; this weekend is a new low; Concerns raised today will go no further – Alcoa can take today’s list of concerns away and doctor them up, present them to the Government in a way that suits their own interests; the previous consultation that has taken place has been a waste of time – given what Father said today, why should we forget the past; Nothing we have heard today is new – Alcoa knows the issues how can they fix them in the future when they keep telling us they are using the best technology available; when Unit 3 goes ahead, all problems will increase.
   David Cattach


10. The adverse impact of Alcoa’s operation on my community.
    Gary Murrighy

    Kingsley Anning

12. Environmental sustainability; water usage; local employment; emissions; extra transport on the S-W highway; health issues; residue storage (mud lakes).
    John Clark

13. Determine what is the truth and what is fiction.
    Jon Schupp

14. Compassion in health issues in Yarloop.
    Dom Anzellin

15. Alcoa’s failure to address the current health issues, both within and outside zone areas, prior to any consideration of expansion.
    Graham Liddiard

16. Health issue; water; noise; property; what is happening to my family; what is happening – we want the truth.
    Angela O’Brien

17. Health; property values; community lifestyle; trains; smells.
    Ray Ritchie

18. That no emissions effecting health happen & if emissions do exceed, these are to be brought to people’s knowledge immediately.
    Jim H Warner

19. Freedom of information – Alcoa refuses to allow access to information – vital to informed decision-making.
    Geoff Pain

    Glenn Staples

    Gina Vitale

22. Land Management.
    David McKittrick

23. My opportunity to use this process to develop better communications in the community.
    Jodie Reed

24. What has Alcoa done to my town (Yarloop).
    Fred Curran

25. Alcoa’s manipulation of government and other statutory bodies.
    David Puzey

26. Land management value – creditability; Yarloop – why people allowed back into Area A.
    Mike Le Roy
27. What do Alcoa think makes them a bad neighbour.

28. On behalf of people who are here today, my issue is the prospect of more trains.  
Jodie Read

29. Responsibility?  
Jane Puzey

30. Social impacts; health impacts; environmental impacts.  
Eric Walmsley
ALCOA – WAGERUP

SESSION OUTCOME 1

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Alcoa to provide solutions

SESSION INITIATOR: Glen Turner

PARTICIPANTS: Glen Turner, John Harris, Kylie Ashenbrenner

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Alcoa to put together a range of solutions for the issues already identified and what Bill already knows

Alcoa to generate the solutions and send to community for the feedback

Send by mail first

For future meetings give at least 2 weeks notice to the community
ALCOA – WAGERUP

SESSION OUTCOME 2

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Business

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS:

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Local contractors/purchase on line bidding

Tourism – how do we get more?

Infrastructure

Contract Services

Events in local area

Pinjarra – Brunswick sustainability study

On going maintenance is an opportunity for local business

Service industry – smaller service industries need opportunity for work – need a point of contact at Wagerup refinery for work eg industrial photography
SESSION OUTCOME 3

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Business

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS:

ACTION OUTCOMES:

- Alcoa contracts being placed to local business
- One line bidding needs review
- New infrastructure in the region
- Encourage new local events
- Ongoing maintenance
- Tourism
- Growth with population increase.
- Pinjarra-Harvey Sustainability Opportunities
- Point of contact for Small Business in Alcoa
- Increased Govt surfaces due to increased expansion
SESSION OUTCOME – 4

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Community Consultation

SESSION INITIATOR: Karen Liddiard

PARTICIPANTS: Graham Liddiard, Duncan Liddiard, John Harris, Lois Harris, Fred Curran, Rob Hodson, Cheryl Hodson

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Publish results of four previous surveys which have been promised but not released.

Publish results of Hamel and Yarloop Land Management Study by ECU

Identify key Alcoa person to be accountable to the public for each area of concern (health, land management, general compensation, environmental impacts)

Provide effective timelines for the resolution or closeout of all actions and concerns and regularly publish progress against timelines.

Publish all information via a variety of methods to ensure all interested parties are kept up to date i.e. local newsletter, dedicated website, email or mail
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SESSION OUTCOME 5

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Environment

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS:

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Environment

Water use – where from? Effect on environment

Trees – effect on water table

Yarloop problems will they be transferred to another area with expansion

Powerhouse will be built

Clear and simple so people can understand what is happening

Lighting – at the refinery. Will there be an increase

Answers for dust suppression on the mudlakes if the increase happens.
TOPIC: Environment

SESSION INITIATOR: Mike Walmsley

PARTICIPANTS: Guy Mansell, Sandy Mansell, Mike Walmsley, Ives Blomme, Barry Bowden

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Environmental capital – no increase in emissions
- Must be not other interpretations of emissions figures and must stand up to all public scrutiny.
- Simplistic feedback
- Alcoa to prove Wagerup plant will be healthiest refinery in work before expansion.

Buffer zone needs to be addressed.

Be more proactive in training ie apprenticeships, operators

More permanent employment - less outsourcing

Pressure on govt for increase in services ie hospitals, roads, schools etc

Move Bgn to Wagerup to lead by example

Management style to go back to the days of Alcoa Australia

No expansion while existing problems /issues not resolved to community satisfaction.

One line bidding needs review

New infrastructure in the region

Encourage new local events

Ongoing maintenance

Tourism

Growth with population increase.

Pinjarra-Harvey Sustainability Opportunities

Point of contact for Small Business in Alcoa

Increased Govt surfaces due to increased expansion
TOPIC: Environmental Issues

SESSION INITIATOR: Karen Liddiard

PARTICIPANTS: Graham Liddiard, Duncan Liddiard, John Harris, Lois Harris, Fred Curran, Rob Hodson, Cheryl Hodson

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Erect warning signs on perimeter advising of presence of chemical emissions.

Conduct a comprehensive study on impacts of emissions on land, ground water, native flora and fauna, farm livestock etc.

Investigate methods for emission elimination such as higher stacks for greater dispersion or pipeline out to sea or anywhere where it won’t impact on a human population centre. If this is feasible it should be published as an alternative, regardless of cost.

Conduct an independent analysis into abnormalities in livestock – birth rate, deformities, spontaneous abortions, growth rate, general health.

Increase the buffer zone and compensate (according to fair market rate) those within the buffer zone who must re-locate.
SESSION OUTCOME - 8

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Health

SESSION INITIATOR: Jean Branchi

PARTICIPANTS: Anna Breed, Tim McAuliffe, Jean Branchi, Melva Mitchell, David Puzey, Ross Milici, Dom Figliomeni, Casey Murrihy, Angela O’Brian

ISSUES

Caustic odour, noise, dust odour, fluoride zinc levels in water (heavy metals), oxalate storage, liquor burner

ACTION OUTCOMES:

- Emission containment on site
- Fit best available technology for emission control
- Use best technology to measure emissions (mobile GCMS owned by chem. Centre)
- Operate plant to minimize emissions
- Charge PR to be open and accountable for health
- Govt funded health research re Alcoa operations
- Access by individuals to their own health records
- Emission/odour/dust monitoring by independent body
- Compensation fund for health and land management
- No restart of oxalate kiln/s
- Real time monitoring of emissions
- Full independent health risk assessment
- Be open and honest with individuals and the community
- Study into K58 cancer outcome at Kwinana – access by the community
- Meet or better NEPM and WHO emissions levels
- Ensure that sprinkler systems or the mud lakes are 100% and provide 100% coverage of area
- Reduce current production to 1994 levels
- Independent assessment of animal health and soil and impact on food chain
- 6-monthly assessment of point 18 above
- Compulsory on plant respirators
ACTION OUTCOMES CONTINUED:

Community managed monitoring programme paid by Alcoa

Reduction in land value due to emissions should be compensated by Alcoa
Health Risk Assessment (HRA) needs to consider the combination of chemical emissions not the acceptable limits for individual chemicals.

Current impact is unacceptable. Needs to be a reduction in current emissions impact:

Noise
Health
Odour

Results of the Parliamentary inquiry should be published before any expansion is considered. Findings (recommendations) to be addressed.
**ALCOA – WAGERUP**

**SESSION OUTCOME – 10**

September 11-12, 2004

**TOPIC:** Health Effects

**SESSION INITIATOR:** Karen Liddiard

**PARTICIPANTS:** Graham Liddiard, Duncan Liddiard, John Harris, Lois Harris, Fred Curran, Rob Hodson, Cheryl Hodson

**ACTION OUTCOMES:**

- Conduct the independent and FULL study by CSIRO into all aspects of potential impacts of Alcoa’s operations. This has already been proposed by CSIRO and signed off by the community.
- Eliminate emissions completely.
- Conduct independent monitoring of emission levels i.e. not by Alcoa.
- Consolidate health issues into a single database is managed by an independent consultant.
- Nominate key Alcoa contact for community to liaise with on health issues.
- Provide a list of doctors who specialize in chemical sensitivity and have chemical free clinics.
- Set up central clinic with qualified doctors who specialize in chemical sensitivity.
- Do not encourage tourism in areas where there are known health effects.
- Provide advice on how to address chemical sensitivity and manage adverse health effects.
TOPIC: Honesty and Fairness; Integrity

SESSION INITIATOR: Margaret McKay

PARTICIPANTS: Vanessa Guthrie, Kath Slater, Bill Heath, Liane Sandstrom, Steve Bunce, Merrilyn Morgan, Les Liddington, Margaret McKay

ACTION OUTCOMES:

- Treat everyone (Alcoa and community) with respect.
- Government must be represented.
- Be truthful with the community.
- Have Alcoa representatives who can answer community questions and concerns.
- Do what you say you are going to do.
- Access to natural gas should be and should have been a priority for Waroona and other towns along the pipeline.
- Fairness and honesty with purchase of properties and valuations.
- Alcoa to give honest answers – not pat answers – to honest questions and to say “I don’t know” if that is the case.
- Local business has not been supported in the past apart from a select few those being the ones advantageous to Alcoa.
- Believe people’s complaints DON’T LIE – tell the truth about everything and demonstrate the truth.
- Show answers to surveys and information that has been collected in the community.
- Alcoa should not just tell the community they should show the answers.
- Share results from first ever survey conducted in Yarloop.
- Get rid of the answering machines and make sure the community get to speak to a person first time, every time.
- Alcoa doesn’t speak for other people’s feelings.
- Free electricity for people in Yarloop and Waroona.
- Involvement in all aspects of the community other than sport ie Waroona frail/aged and Yarloop hospital.
- Will the outcomes of this forum influence the eventual decision of whether the proposed third stage goes ahead.
- All issues raised today should be addressed and solutions arrived at before further expansion.
ACTION OUTCOMES CONTINUED:

Access to employment opportunities in Waroona for those who don’t have computer accesss
TOPIC: Land Management

SESSION INITIATOR: Geoff Cattach, David Cattach, Gail Wickham, Aldo & Margaret Carratti, Vic Webb, Eric Walmsley, Ray & Ann Ritchie, Kevin Wood

ACTION OUTCOMES:

People who have demonstrated on-going amenity and/or health problems as a result of the refinery should have the opportunity to sell and relocate:

· obtain fair market value.

People who can demonstrate that their property value is impacted by the refinery, and have made a reasonable and genuine attempt to sell, should have Alcoa as a buyer of last resort.

· Need to consider over what time period (i.e. life of the refinery?)

People who can demonstrate that their business is negatively impacted by the refinery, and have made a reasonable and genuine attempt to sell, should have Alcoa as a buyer of last resort.

· Need to consider over what time period (i.e. life of the refinery?)
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SESSION OUTCOME – 13

September 11-12, 2004

TOPIC: Land Values

SESSION INITIATOR: Karen Liddiard

PARTICIPANTS: Graham Liddiard, Duncan Liddiard, John Harris, Lois Harris, Fred Curran, Rob Hodson, Cheryl Hodson

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Conduct an independent assessment to determine fair market values in comparison with land outside the affected zone and in line with historic growth patterns.

Purchase at independent fair value the properties of people who cannot find an alternative purchaser within twelve months.

Pay the balance of fair market value if the only purchase that can be located will not pay full market value.

Provide compensation for those who are disadvantaged by having to sell up and move.
TOPIC: Residue

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS: Geoff Cattach, David Cattach, Gail Wickham, Aldo & Margaret Carratti, Vic Webb, Eric Walmsley, Ray & Ann Ritchie, Kevin Wood

ACTION OUTCOMES:
Residue dust needs to be controlled.
Odour from residue is an issue
TOPIC: Trains and train movements

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS: Geoff Cattach, David Cattach, Gail Wickham, Aldo & Margaret Carratti, Vic Webb, Eric Walmsley, Ray & Ann Ritchie, Kevin Wood

ACTION OUTCOMES:

What can be done to minimize the impact of trains through the town of Yarloop?

Some possible solutions:

Use new not old locos – old ones much noisier

Put the lay-by (or passing lane for trains) our of town so that trains are not idling in the town

Reduce the speed of trains through the town

Examine the gradient heading south from the town, as trains need to speed up

Introduce time restrictions for train movements

Have longer trains not more train movements

Provide underpasses or overpasses to prevent delays at crossings
TOPIC: Land Management

SESSION INITIATOR: Dani Stehlick, J Hiil – Warner

PARTICIPANTS: Dani Stehlick, J Hiil – Warner

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Require clarity on land management rules

Require consistency in land management process

Provide security that Alcoa will purchase properties in Area B for the life of the refinery

Issue with commissioning in 2007 but current Area B commitment is until end 2006

Quality of life and unique attributes not considered in valuations

Attachment to place difficult to capture but needs to be considered

Issues of noise in B buffer zone – under Reg 17 no where to move – doesn’t have any resolutions

People are tired of telling of telling the company about “issues”

Land being sold 10-15% less than market value bringing different people into community – concern about drug use

Sleeping is difficult because of noise

Buy local for local businesses

PJ people come and talk to WG people about the successes of their consultation

Seems several Area B valuations have now been reduced

Consistency of valuations

Alcoa does not appreciate value & amount of money involved to buy elsewhere

Odours / Noise issues for people living close to refinery
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**SESSION OUTCOME - 17**

**TOPIC:**
Noise
- Reg 17 application and Zone A
- Noise increase from expansion (previous & future)
- Amenity issues (audible noise that is less than limits)
- Rail transport noise
- Road noise – members from group did not think this is an issue

**SESSION INITIATOR:**
Sunday morning discussion

**PARTICIPANTS:**
Ives Blomme, Alex Jovanovich, Eric Walmsley; David Kemp, Victor Webb, Anita Logiudice

**ACTION OUTCOMES:**

Clarify what the impacts of a Reg 17 application are for people in Area A with regards to development of land. Will the Shire be liable and if so will this mean that they restrict land development?

Review noise control opportunities for the refinery eg. Barriers, source reduction for the plant. Specifically look at a 5 dba reduction to reduce noise impact on people living further away from the refinery.

Review need for increasing plant boundaries so that there is no magical line for Area A/B because people don’t want the stigma of living in or near a buffer zone.

Review noise control opportunities for rail transport for Wagerup expansion (Pinjarra) eg. Barriers, screening, train controls like mufflers or engine modifications, use of horns, type of horns etc

Rail option analysis - Review noise and vibration impacts of different train options eg. More trains, longer trains, bigger wagons, upgrading locomotives to new type which are considered less noisy, reduction in train speed when passing through town (use of idler)

Review locations of lay-by’s (existing and new) for Yarloop and Harvey (town’s along transport route).

Review rail gradient South of Yarloop and expansion joint issues

Invite rail companies (ARG and West net) to participate in working group

Review train scheduling opportunities to minimize impacts.

Review option of relocating rail line to the East so it bypasses towns and passess along areas used for agriculture only.

**Question**
Who has responsibility for actioning these items?
TOPIC: Land Management

SESSION INITIATOR: Dave McKittrick


ACTION OUTCOMES:

- Individual property valuation. Consideration given to replacement of equivalent value considering all fixtures & fittings
- State Govt to be involved at appropriate level as suggested by Alcoa and in consultation with community groups
- 17 proposals passed at ECU-Alcoa. Land management proposals – do these still stand?
- Area B Land Management. Extension of proposal beyond 2006 to allow an extra agreed upon time, or for life of refinery. Those concerned to be consulted.
- Zoning re-visited as impact extends beyond current zoning by Alcoa.
- Shire to be involved and to participate in local issues.
- Environmental impact in the future. E.g beyond closure of Alcoa when resources are “consumed”. What is in place? To ensure environment has not been adversely affected.
- Alcoa to get State Govt. to come to community and explain Buffer Policy so community can have input/understand issues. Refer to website www.epa.wa.gov.au
- Alcoa to consult and work with people who wish to stay in the area and agree on what can be done to offer assurity and security.
- That Alcoa reconsider Proposal 18. Reconsideration to be made within 6 weeks of today’s date.
- Recognise the impact on and formally apologise to work towards developing trust.
- In some instances, those who sell to Alcoa are subject to capital gains tax. Alcoa should meet all associated costs.

***NB - Independent monitoring and researching should be transparent and reviews/reports to be tabled as researched. These reports etc. are to be opened for public viewing, if and when required.
TOPIC: Emissions

SESSION INITIATOR: David Hanham

PARTICIPANTS: Jim Hill-Warner, Yvonne Hill-Warner, Geoff Pain, David Puzey, Barry Bowden, Craig Carbone

ACTION OUTCOMES:
How many people have been affected by organic and inorganic carcinogens – if so how many over the last 3 years

When will the consultants reports be published to communities

What effect will multiple chemicals have – even if levels are well below accepted levels

Oxalate Kiln – what is going to be done?

Is there going to be a complete list of emissions / compounds?

Ensure OHS (emission effects) are addressed

Risk assessment should address occupational health

Use the information – what is being done about it all?

Knowing which information is there and it being made available

Characterisation of residue drying area and cooling pond emissions

Characterisation of cooling tower emissions

Characterisation of all emission sources (refer gaps in Ian Galbally report pg 53 Tables 2.6 and 2.7)

Chemistry Centre at Wagerup Working Group has requested RDA samples be divided and analysed by them

Is the dehumidifier working?

Where is the mercury balance report 2001

There is a lack of safety equipment for RDA’s – especially oxygen masks

Ariel photo showing sprinkler coverage is inadequate

Need for independent analysis and monitoring with the report available

Needs to be measurement of chemicals to the levels that make people sick

Has anyone been affected by inorganic or organic carcinogens, if so how many over the years?
ACTION OUTCOMES CONTINUED:

With all the monitoring – use the information – what is been done to fix the problem?

What process is needed for community members to input into source and ambient monitoring to generate data that is believed

What needs to happen if measurement detection limits are higher than limits for health?

How does Alcoa need to present data / information simply but with more detail if needed
TOURISM (Socio-economic factors associated)

SESSION INITIATOR: Brian Doy

PARTICIPANTS: Kylie Ashenbrenner, Helen Alexander, Owen Hunt, Fred Curran, Brian Wood, Mick Murray, Kath Slater, Casey Murrihy, Gary Murrihy, Greg Morrison, Ives Blomme, Brian Doy

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Development of a business plan for Yarloop

**Strengths of Yarloop District**

- Steam Workshops
- Sense of Community
- History of Yarloop
- Natural Assets
- Wetlands
- Hills
- Bush
- History of forestry in the region

**Weaknesses of Yarloop District**

- Execution of past planning
- Communications between groups
- Lack of marketing
- Splintering of community groups
- Current stakeholders entrenched in agendas

**Tourism**

- Capitalise on regional tourism opportunities
- Historical road for timber town
- Owen Hunt’s house and wetland springs
ACTION OUTCOMES CONTINUED:

Multi-tiered approach to tourism promotion

International

Competition- use of jarrah (market niche)

State-based event
Local community event – need an event calendar

Events based on:
Recreation
Entertainment
Arts

Use Balingup as an example of small town survival

Enhance historical identity

Identify places of tourism potential in Yarloop

Yarloop Progress Association to work with and be accountable to the rest of the town without being a member

Pull together all information on tourism and business potential from past groups work

Business

Identify business opportunities in Yarloop and region

Harvey to be accountable and get excited about Yarloop

Ensure professional employment opportunities – not just unskilled

Address transition issues from secondary education to further education -> training required

Alcoa should use locally available amenities for joint services

Use Alcoa to gain resources to move forward in the community

Better access to technology required, natural gas and sewerage services

Promote sporting opportunities in the region

Consolidation of community groups required

How do we implement positive changes

Company genuinely want to drive change

Employ coordinator or business adviser

Advertise for outside members
ACTION OUTCOMES CONTINUED:

Suggest community co-ordinator – groups/events

Funds – local and state and federal government, community, PDC, SWDC, Alcoa

Base committee on 1 representative from all groups/associations, and gather to write job description

Committees to provide representative:

- Community House
- YEAP project
- Bowling Club
- Yarloop Progress Association – YPG/YPAG
- Fire Brigade and fire brigade support group
- Pub
- YDCRC
- PnC
- CAPS
- Steam workshop
- CCN
- Tripartite

Discussions to primarily must focus on community coordinator and Yarloop positive future, not to focus on other issues associated with Alcoa

Interest government in Yarloop’s development – get them to buy back in

Idea – 40hr famine camp group

Platform at Yarloop station – Mick Murray suggested may be funded

Yarloop Yarn – to be sent to Yarloop ratepayers, as well as locals

Bus link between towns – eg for Fred to get to the gym at Waroona
TOPIC: Environment & opportunities for the community

SESSION INITIATOR:

PARTICIPANTS: Guy and Sandra Mansell, David Dwyer, John and Val Salerian, Bill Knight

ACTION OUTCOMES:

Job opportunities for local people
Source local companies
Traineeships and apprenticeships
Flow on to small business
Light industrial area needed for the town. Can Alcoa help?
How can Alcoa help our community?
Funding for Accident and Emergency Service to this area
Local Chamber of Commerce needs acting on to explore all opportunities
**ACTION GROUP NAME:** Honesty and Fairness  
**CONVENOR:** Margaret McKay  
**PARTICIPANTS:** Kath Slater, Trevor Bennett, Dr. Ken Robinson, Mal Briggs, Giulio Cassello

**WHAT WE WILL DO:**

Define problems / issues

- Acknowledgement of individuals concerns as being legitimate and without bias  
- Training of company staff in response to community complaints, personal opinion of employee not important  
- Clear, open process towards resolution demonstrated by employee  
- If you don't know, or can't do: say so!

Check Sheets:

- Honesty
- Fairness
- Integrity

Company’s Procedures

**WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:**

Briefing of Pinjarra experience  
Help in capacity, building of community organisations e.g. Frail Aged Facility in Waroona

**WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:**
ACTION GROUP NAME: Socio Economic

CONVENOR:

PARTICIPANTS: Guy Mancell, Sandy Mancell, Ives Blomme, Anita Logiudice

WHAT WE WILL DO:

Find ways Alcoa can involve the community in regards to employment e.g.

- Traineeships**
- Dual use of medical facilities within the Waroona Township##
- Careers guidance officer

Develop Chamber of Commerce group

** Could Alcoa provide funding for traineeships within the local community or sponsor traineeships within local business?

## Emergency Services: i.e. A & E Centre in town to be manned 24hrs for emergencies in conjunction with a relocated medical centre from Alcoa

WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:

Shires

Chamber of Commerce

Alcoa World Alumina, Australia South West Development Commission

Local Business Representatives

School Careers Officers (all schools)

WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:

Kylie Ashenbrenner

David Dwyer

Contractors – e.g. Hulls, TCC, Micron Engineering, other volunteers

Comm Development Group – Audrey Smith

Chamber of Commerce

Peel Health Commission

Local Shires

St Johns
South West Health
Local / State Government
Dr. Geok Ong
Dr's Peter & Pat Wallace
ACTION OUTCOME 3

September 11-12, 2004

**ACTION GROUP NAME:** Community Consultation

**CONVENOR:** Jodie Read

**PARTICIPANTS:** Jodie Read, John Salerian, Steve Platell, Kevin Wood

**WHAT WE WILL DO:**

Develop communications plan to distribute information to local stakeholders. May include newsletter distribution to neighbours through local papers or other, website, emails & mail.

Should include strategy to get information flowing from site

Develop timelines in consultation with those who engage in the consultation process e.g. Join working groups and CCN and publish

Will require consultation communication plan:

Work with working groups to identify key contacts and ensure details are covered in all communications

Clarify ECU and then inform people of availability and provide details of how to access

Clarify which surveys and determine what information can be shared

**WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:**

All other working groups

Brian Doy

Bill Knight

CCN

**WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:**

Jodie Read
**ALCOA - WAGERUP**

**ACTION OUTCOME 4**

**ACTION GROUP NAME:** Health

**CONVENOR:**

**PARTICIPANTS:** Dave Puzey, Tim McAuliffe, Anne Breed

**WHAT WE WILL DO: ‘WE WANT TO SEE HAPPEN’**

Health Community Action with independent Health Risk Assessment and Review

Findings of Parliamentary Inquiry are considered and acted upon with regards to health

Needs to be change in attitudes to health problems

Oxalate Kiln must not restart at Wagerup

Independent assessment of animal health and soil and plants

Outcome of suspected “Cancer Cluster Study” K58 included with Health Risk

Openness and freedom to access to (something) Health Records and reports to individuals concerned

Mud lake dust control – sprinkler system

Real time monitoring and access to results

Reduction of emissions

Compulsory on-site respirators

Compensation Fund for cancer and illness

**WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:**

Community / workers / Dept of Health / Independent Knowledgeable Specialists

**WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:**

Dave Puzey

Tim McAuliffe

Anne Breed
ALCOA - WAGERUP

ACTION OUTCOME 5

September 11-12, 2004

ACTION GROUP NAME: Land Management

CONVENOR:

PARTICIPANTS:

WHAT WE WILL DO:

Form a group made up of representatives of different categories e.g. (Zone A, B, C).

Prioritise issues from session notes (today)

Develop recommendations to bring back to larger group (focus on session outcomes) – Mid November

Make a commitment to achieve a favourable outcome for everybody

WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:

Alcoa – Brian Doy

State Government

WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:

Glen Turner (Business)

Rob Romeo(Zone B)

Helen Alexander(Zone B)

Gail Wickham(Zone C)

Geoff Cattach(Zone C)

Merv McDonald(Zone A)

Dave McKittrick(Hamel)

Karen Liddiard(Hamel and Zone C)

Brian Doy

Rosemary McDonald(Zone A)

Jean Branchy(Zone A)

Angela O’Brien(New)
ACTION GROUP NAME: Emissions / Discharge

CONVENOR: Barry Bowden, Val Salerian, Geoff Pain, Yvonne Hill- Warner, Jim Hill-Warner, Mal Abbott, David Hanham

WHAT WE WILL DO:

Availability of existing information – ensuring corrections

Ensure gaps identified in Ian Galbally report pp 53 Tables 2.6 and 2.7 are addressed and any additional information provided on emissions

Identify emission sources – link to impacts / levels and potential control measures

Potential control and process improvements and measures, inc. residue and developments, need to be assessed

WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:

Wagerup working group

WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:

Link to Wagerup working group

CCN to determine – 19 September 2004
ACTION GROUP NAME: Tourism & Marketing

CONVENOR: Geoff Cattach

PARTICIPANTS: Geoff Cattach, Greg Morrison, Fred Curran, Kylie Ashenbrenner, Eric Walmsley

WHAT WE WILL DO:

Form a (short term) base committee: i.e. One representative from existing appropriate groups / associations as per below

Committee

↓

Consultant

Marketer

Committee

↓

Co-ordinator

Consultant

Base Group to discuss criteria for appointing professional marketing / co-ordinator to develop business plan and market Yarloop and surrounds as a total commercial product

The above requires liaison / discussion and agreement with wider Yarloop Community

WHO ELSE WILL (OR NEED TO) BE INVOLVED:

Needs early identification for funding

The person appointed must have professional skills and further training to be made available

WHO WILL LEAD THE NEXT STEPS & CONVENE THE NEXT GATHERING:

Gary Murrihy