

TABLE D1: Summary Of Stakeholder Consultation Conducted

PHASE ONE – Announcing an engineering study for an efficiency upgrade at Alcoa’s Pinjarra Refinery

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location of Consultation Contact	Method of Consultation
Local Government	City Of Mandurah	June 2003	Mandurah	Meeting Mail out
	Shire of Murray	1 July 2003	Pinjarra	Monthly meeting Council presentation Telephone
		16 July 2003		
		15 August 2003		
	Shire of Murray Planning Committee	19 August 2003	Pinjarra	Council Committee meetings Mail out
	Shire of Waroona	July 2003	Mundijong	Presentation
Shire of Serpentine-Jarradale	July 2003	Mundijong	Presentation	
Murray Community	Local Residents	July 2003	Pinjarra Carcoola Ravenswood Coolup Yunderup Dwellingup North Dandalup	Mail out Telephone
			Pinjarra Refinery Neighbours	July 2003

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location of Consultation Contact	Method of Consultation
	Community Consultative Network	July 2003	Pinjarra	Presentation Mail out
	Huntly Mine site neighbours	July 2003	Dwellingup	Mail out
Peel Region				
Committees/Chambers	Murray Economic Development Unit	18 July 2003	Pinjarra	Mail out
	Peel Development Commission	16 July 2003 4 July 2003	Mandurah	Meeting & Mail out
	Peel Chamber of Commerce	17 July 2003 2 August 2003	Mandurah	Meeting Presentation Telephone
	Peel Area Consultative Committee	17 July 2003	Mandurah Pinjarra	Meeting Presentation Site Tour
Government Departments - State	Environmental Protection Authority	25 July 2003	Perth	Meeting Presentation
	Department of Industry and Resources	25 July 2003	Perth	Meeting and presentation
	Department of Environment	25 July 2003	Perth	Meeting and presentation
	Water Corporation	25 July 2003	Perth	Meeting and presentation
	Peel and Rockingham/Kwinana Health Services	July 2003	Pinjarra	Mail out
Members of Parliament	Minister for State Development	June 2003 July 2003	Perth	Meeting
	Member for Murray-Wellington	13 June 2003 22 August 2003	Pinjarra	Meeting Mail out Presentation

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location of Consultation Contact	Method of Consultation
	Member for Mandurah	4 July 2002	Mandurah	Telephone Mail out
	Member for Dawesville	16 July 2003	Mandurah	Meeting, Presentation Telephone Mail out
	Federal Member for Canning	22 July 2003 4 July 2003	Pinjarra Perth	Meeting Presentation Site Tour Mail out
Environmental Interest Group	Local Landcare Groups (LCDC's)	July 2003	Pinjarra	Mail out
Alcoa Employees	Pinjarra Refinery WA Operations Corporate	July 2003	Pinjarra WA	Newsletter Electronic announcements – Email; Infovision and Pinjarra Points Telephone
Unions	AMU		Pinjarra	Meeting Mail out
	AMWU		Perth Pinjarra	Presentation Meeting Mail out
	CFMEU		Perth	Mail out
Media	Mandurah Mail	July 2003	Mandurah	Media statement Interview

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location of Consultation Contact	Method of Consultation
	Coastal Times	July 2003	Mandurah	Media statement Interview
	The West Australian	July 2003	Perth	Media statement
	The Australian	July 2003	Melbourne	Media statement

PHASE TWO – Stakeholder Consultation Process

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
Local Government	City Of Mandurah	August 2003	Mandurah	Meeting Mail out
	Shire of Murray	August 2003	Pinjarra	Monthly meeting Council presentation Letter received
	Shire of Murray Planning Committee	August 2003	Pinjarra	Council Committee meeting
Murray Community	Residents	August 2003 September 2003	Pinjarra Carcoola Ravenswood Coolup Yunderup Dwellingup North Dandalup	Mail out Workshop invitation Advert in the Mandurah Mail
	Pinjarra Refinery Neighbours	August 2003	Pinjarra	Mail out

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
	Community Consultative Network	August 2003	Pinjarra	Presentation Mail out
	Huntly Mine site neighbours	August 2003	Dwellingup	Mail out
Chambers & Commissions	Peel Development Commission	August 2003	Mandurah	Meeting & Mail out
	Peel Chamber of Commerce	2 August 2003	Mandurah	Meeting Presentation Telephone Mail out
	Peel Area Consultative Committee	August 2003	Mandurah Pinjarra	Meeting Presentation Mail out
Government Departments - State	Environmental Protection Authority	August 2003	Perth	Meeting Presentation
	Department of Industry and Resources	August 2003	Perth	Meeting and presentation
	Department of Environment	August 2003	Perth	Meeting and presentation
Members of Parliament	Minister for the Environment	August 2003	Perth	Meeting & mail out
	Minister for State Development	August 2003	Perth	Mail out
	Shadow Minister for the Environment	August 2003	Perth	Mail out
	Minister for South-West	September 2003	Perth	Mail out
	Greens WA representative(s)	August 2003	South-west	Mail outs
		September 2003	Perth	Meeting Presentation Telephone
Member for Murray-Wellington	22 August 2003	Pinjarra	Meeting Presentation	

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
	Member for Mandurah	August 2002	Mandurah	Telephone Mail out
	Member for Dawesville	August 2003	Mandurah	Meeting, Presentation
	Federal Member for Canning	August 2003	Perth	Meeting Mail out
Environmental Interest Groups	Local Landcare Groups (LCDC's)	August 2003 September 2003	Pinjarra	Mail out Workshop
	Representatives of Conservation Council of WA & WA Forests Alliance	September 2003	Perth	Meeting and Presentation
Alcoa Employees	Pinjarra Refinery	August 2003	Pinjarra	Newsletter
	WA Operations Corporate	September 2003	WA	Management meetings Electronic announcements
Unions	AMU	August 2003 September 2003	Pinjarra	Meeting Mail out
	AMWU	August 2003 September 2003	Perth Pinjarra	Presentation Meeting Mail out
	CFMEU	September 2003	Perth	Presentation

PHASES THREE & FOUR– SRG formation and ongoing progress

Fortnightly mail outs have been sent to the above list of stakeholders. The mail outs have included regular Updates on the progress of the SRG and also any new communication materials that have been produced to provide further information on the project. Other activities, over and above these mail outs are listed below:

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
Shire of Murray	Residents	October 2003	Pinjarra	Pinjarra Refinery Open Day Pinjarra Fair Function
	Pinjarra Refinery Neighbours	October 2003 November 2003	Pinjarra	Pinjarra Refinery Open Day Pinjarra Fair
	Community Consultative Network	October 2003 November 2003	Pinjarra Mandurah	Presentation Meetings
	Murray Districts Business Retention Committee	September 2003 October 2003	Pinjarra	Meetings Workshops
Commissions	Peel Development Commission	October 2003 November 2003	Mandurah	Presentation Fundraising luncheon Meeting
	Peel and Rockingham/Kwinana Health Services	November 2003	Pinjarra	Telephone
Government Departments - State	Environmental Protection Authority	September 2003	Perth	Meeting Presentation
	Department of Industry and Resources	25 July 2003	Perth	SRG Meetings
	Department of Environment	September 2003 October 2003 November 2003	Perth	SRG Meetings Open Day and Fair

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
	Department of Health	October 2003 November 2003	Perth	Meeting
	Department of Premier and Cabinet	September 2003	Perth	Meeting
Members of Parliament	Premier of WA		Perth	Presentation
	Minister for Community Development	October 2003	Perth Mandurah	Presentation Public expo
	Minister for the South-West	November 2002	Dwellingup Perth	Meeting
	Minister for Health	October 2003	Perth	Meeting
	Shadow Minister for Health	October 2003	Perth	Meeting
	WA Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer	October 2003	Perth	Meeting
	President of the Legislative Assembly	November 2003	Dwellingup Perth	Meeting
	Member for Murray-Wellington	October 2003 November 2003	Perth Dwellingup	Presentation Meeting Newsletter articles
	Member for Mandurah	October 2003	Perth	Presentation Newspaper articles
Member for Dawesville	16 July 2003	Mandurah	Presentation Meeting Newsletter articles	
Unions	AMU		Pinjarra	Telephone
	AMWU		Perth Pinjarra	Telephone

Group	Stakeholder	Date	Location	Method of Consultation
Media	Mandurah Mail	July 2003	Mandurah	Media statement
	Coastal Times	July 2003	Mandurah	Media statement
Other	Residents		Kwinana Rockingham Harvey Yarloop Warooka Bunbury Hamel	Pinjarra Festival

TABLE D2: Issues Raised During Consultation

(Issues of direct relevance to the Environmental Protection Statement are highlighted)

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Issues Raised by SRG

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
Air emissions	Will the project use best practice air emissions management	Landcare Group (Sept 3)	An air emissions inventory has been completed. Monitoring has been undertaken by expert consultants and specialist laboratories both accredited by NATA, and using recognised Australian or USEPA standards. Modelling has been undertaken by a consultant using recognised modelling programs. The project is committed to reduce VOC's, particulates and to offset NO _x emissions, and will do so using the latest technology in; wet scrubbers, regenerative thermal oxidisers, electrostatic precipitators and baghouses.	1. Implement the project requirements.	Response accepted – Independent Expert review performed (SRG chose Jack Chiodo)	Air Emission/Odour Presentation - 24/9– G Baird Section 6.3 of EPS Air Emissions Expert Review report
Health	Will there be an impact on community health	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	Alcoa has modelled the emissions from the refinery and commissioned an expert to conduct a Health Risk assessment. Ground level	1. Review output of HRA for any potential changes	Response accepted – Independent Expert review of HRA performed (SRG chose Philip	Air emissions/odour presentation – 24/9 – G Baird Section 6.3.6 of EPS

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			concentrations were found to be well within health guidelines, A comprehensive health risk assessment will add another dimension to this assessment.		Weinstien)	
Odour	Will there be an Odour impact for the community	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	A 10% reduction in VOC's will result in an equivalent odour reduction.	Implement project requirements	Response accepted	Air emissions/odour presentation – 24/9 – G Baird Section 6.3.5 of EPS
Dust	Will the project provide equal to or better than current performance on dust management. Will best practice dust management be employed.	Workforce Group (Sept 3). Landcare Group (Sept 3) 2 community members 22/10 open day	The project has committed to improve residue dust emission by making improvements to dust control measures. The project will have minimal impact on dust, however, as residue area increases over time this has the potential to increase the risk of dust. A variety of techniques are used to control dust, including sprinklers, stone or wood mulching, cropping, use of local wind forecasting	1. Implement project changes.	Questions on dust constituents – response provided 8/10.	Dust Presentation – 24/9 – G Baird Dust Constituents & Fine Particles and PM2.5 presentation – 8/10 – G Baird Section 6.3 of EPS
Water use	Will the project have an impact on groundwater. Precise monitoring of water table impacts on neighbouring land. Best Practice water management	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3) Landcare Group (Sept 3)	In normal rainfall years the refinery can meet its needs within the sustainable yield of the groundwater aquifer. Alcoa is interested in developing a low grade source to supply the additional water required for this upgrade.	1. develop options with Water Corp. A letter of intent is being drafted.	Response accepted	Water presentation – 8/10 - G. Baird Sections 6.10 and 6.15.1 of EPS
Water use	What is the impact on surface	Neighbours/Farming	There will be no change to our	No actions	Response accepted	Water presentation 8/10 – G

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
	water runoff.	Group (Sept 3). Landcare Group (Sept 3)	surface water harvesting pattern after the upgrade. We have 3 dams on our property that supply over 30% of our needs. We have conducted environmental water requirement studies for each of the dams and the requirements are exceeded.			Baird Section 6.10.2 of EPS
Water Catchment	Oakley Brook does not flow all year.	Local Council Representative (SRG)	Oakley Brook does not normally flow all year. A downstream neighbour confirmed this to the SRG. The newest of Alcoa's three dams – the Lower Oakley Pumpback is a 3 metre structure which is designed to harvest during peak stream flows. Flow is continuously released through a drain in the wall.	No actions	Response accepted	Section 6.10.2 of EPS
Noise	Refinery noise – will the refinery increase noise levels	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3), Workforce Group (Sept 3)	There will be no increase in noise emissions from the refinery. The project will implement noise control measures on new and modified equipment to ensure this is met.	1. Implement project requirements 2. Carry our noise survey as part of project implementation.	Response accepted	Noise Presentation – 15/10 – G Baird Section 6.5 of EPS
Noise	Train noise – there is rail screech noise when laden bauxite trains heading to Kwinana pass over a curve in the line entering PJ town from the south	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	This project will not change the number of trains heading north to Kwinana, however we are continuing to work with Westnet Rail to determine the economic impacts of realigning the curve that is	1. Facilitate discussions with Westnet rail to finalise plans for realignment of rail line	Response accepted	Section 6.5.6 of EPS

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			subject of most concern.			
Design	Improve environmental controls during design phase	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	Design review process incorporates EH&S reviews and risk assessments and workforce representatives are involved. E.g. Seed Filtration building location discussion.	1. Follow design review process	Response accepted	1.Quick Find guide showing procedures 2.Environmental Risk Assessment procedure 3. EH&S Design review process 4. Statutory approval check list
Feral animals	Control of rabbits, foxes, pigs on Alcoa land and neighbouring areas	Landcare Group (Sept 3) Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	The project will not impact the control of feral animals. However Alcoa recognises its role in the local farming community and will play its part in feral animal control	Re-establish a fox control program and investigate rabbit and pig control	Response accepted	Section 6.7 of EPS
Light spill	Will there be more light spill at night?	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	Alcoa recognises the amenity impact of light spill but also needs to ensure that its workforce are provided with adequate lighting during the night time. A design approach including the use of lower wattage lamps is applied to minimise the light spill. It is expected that less than 7% extra lighting will be required and with some of the additional light being inside enclosed buildings the overall impact of the additional lighting is not expected to be noticeable.	1. Apply design philosophy to minimise light spill 2. identify a means of demonstrating no noticeable change e.g. examine whether before and after photographs will work	Response accepted	Section 7.3 of EPS
Revegetation	Demonstrate revegetation of disturbed residue area	Landcare Group (Sept 3)	At the refinery the project will not disturb natural or original vegetation areas.	No action for the project.	Response accepted	Rehabilitation - Research Activity

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			<p>In the residue area initial rehabilitation is with grasses but typically a year after construction of the batter walls the area is revegetated with native vegetation including shrubs, ground cover and small trees.</p> <p>The Mining rehabilitation program is considered world's best practice. e.g. UN Global 500 roll of honour.</p>	<p>However, as part of normal operations the Residue area are looking to establish new demonstration rehabilitated areas on RDA 4 batters over next 2 years. This is part of a program to accelerate rehabilitation over the next 3-4 years.</p>		Sections 6.6.3 and 6.16 of EPS
Clearing of Remnant Vegetation	Flora and fauna surveys of remnant vegetation before further clearing	Landcare Group (Sept 3)	<p>No clearing of remnant vegetation, within the Refinery or farmlands is planned as part of the project.</p> <p>Mining operation will continue to follow government approved baseline survey program relating to fauna and flora.</p>	No action planned	Response accepted	<p>Vegetation monitoring reports</p> <p>Sections 5.6, 5.7, 6.6.6.7 of EPS</p>
Stream zones on Alcoa land	Streamlining and nutrient trapping on waterways flowing from Alcoa farm (some glaring flaws at present)	Landcare Group (Sept 3)	The project will not impact on this issue.	Tree planting planned for Oakley south area during winter 2004.	Response accepted	
Traffic	Extra traffic through Pinjarra town during construction	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	Work with the SRG to collect more data on the baseline and supply information on the impact of the Efficiency Upgrade. Most of the heavy vehicle traffic for the	Assist the Murray Shire with baseline survey and provide estimates of traffic movements	Response accepted	<p>MRD reports from June 2000</p> <p>Section 6.14 of EPS</p>

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			<p>construction program will not come through the Pinjarra town.</p> <p>Alcoa understands that the local community is concerned about traffic volume through the town of Pinjarra and has made available land that would be used for a bypass being considered by the local community. These concerns will be eased if there is a heavy-duty bypass.</p>			
Traffic	Access to areas like North Spur Road	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	Construction traffic will be managed to ensure access to these areas are not impacted			Section 6.14 of EPS

Issues Raised by Others

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
Radiation	<p>What is the Residue area radiation</p> <p>Concern about the clarity of information available and that residue land was not available for residential housing</p>	WA Conservation Council and SRG members	<p>The levels of radiation are below safe levels and this project will not change the level of radiation resulting from the disposal of residue. Government bodies, including the radiation council have determined that future land use options for residue should include agricultural and light industrial but not residential housing.</p>	Clarify the information provided on residue radiation.	Accepted with the requirement to provide improved communication for local community.	Radiation fact sheet –8/10
Residue area Land Use	<p>What are the long term residue requirements</p> <p>Concern raised that the residue area consumes too much valuable land and that it prevents higher value use of surrounding areas</p>	SRG members/ WA Forest Alliance/Tony Hall	<p>The project does not incorporate additional residue area. However, there is a parallel planning process that addresses the future impacts and plans with the land use requirements for residue.</p>	<p>Implement the process for engaging local community in residue long term planning in parallel with the Efficiency Upgrade project</p>	<p>Agreement that community involvement in residue planning was critical and that it needs to occur prior to further expansion of the residue footprint.</p>	<p>Residue Long Term planning strategy presentation – 8/10 V. Guthrie & A. Bermingham</p> <p>Section 6.11.2 of EPS</p>
Greenhouse	<p>What is the Greenhouse impact of this project</p>	WA Forest Alliance	<p>Greenhouse intensity improves by 5% for this project but 14% if you include the steam produced by the Co-generation facility provided by Alinta. The additional electricity required for the upgrade is likely to be provided by a co-generation source. Alcoa</p>	<p>1. implement project requirements</p> <p>2. develop GHG abatement plans.</p>	Response accepted	Section 6.4 of EPS

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			worldwide is targeting a 20% reduction in greenhouse emissions by 2005 based on a 1990 baseline.			
Forest Clearing	<p>Mine area clearing</p> <p>Query on whether the increased rate of clearing at Huntly as a result of the PJ Efficiency Upgrade would increase the Area Open</p>	<p>WA Forest Alliance</p> <p>Wildflower Society</p>	<p>Alcoa's mine rehabilitation is a recognised benchmark. We rehabilitate all mined areas with a self sustaining Jarrah forest eco-system.</p> <p>The average number of indigenous plant species in a 15 month old rehabilitation area is 100% of the number found in representative Jarrah forest sites.</p> <p>The increased rate of clearing at the Huntly Mine as a result of the Pinjarra Efficiency Upgrade will be approximately 30 hectares/year. We also plan to increase our rate of rehabilitation by 30 hectares/year. Our objective is to rehabilitate areas progressively as soon as they become available after mining</p>	No change to current program	Response accepted	<p>Bauxite Mining and Conservation of the Jarrah Forest in South West Australia – paper by John Gardner and Geoff Stoneham</p> <p>Sections 5.6.1 and 6.6.1 of EPS</p>
Residue Liners	Concern raised about the quality of plastic liners and clay used for lining residue areas. Also concern about the quality of workmanship	Anonymous – employee of plastic liner installer	Quality of materials is assured through use of appropriate standards and inspection through manufacture and installation. Alcoa has recently	No project specific actions, although there is a need to communicate residue storage	Response accepted.	

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			<p>completed an investigation to check the long term performance of the PVC liner. A sample, which represented around 16 years of exposure to alkaline conditions was taken. The tests showed that the liner was still performing to all its design parameters without degradation.</p> <p>All residue storage areas built since 1980 include a full composite (clay and PVC) liner and underdrainage layer. There are no indications of seepage from any of these composite lined storage areas.</p>	<p>quality assurance programs to stakeholders</p>		
Water Recycle	<p>What plans are there to minimise the loss of water</p>	DoE/EPA	<p>A number of options have been considered to provide the 1,100ML needed for the upgrade. These include; reducing evaporation from the water storage reservoir, capture of steam from some sections of the process (blowoff vapour capture), use of lower grade sources such as saline Murray River water, or recycle water from the Water Corporation Gordon Rd sewage treatment plant.</p>	<p>Continue process to identify a water source for the upgrade. Detailed feasibility studies are underway to explore the recycle water option further. It is also likely that blow off vapour will be captured resulting in a water saving of approximately 350ML pa</p>	Response accepted	<p>Section 6.10.4 and 6.10.5 of EPS</p>

SOCIAL ISSUES

Issues Raised by SRG

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
Contractor workforce	Short term contractors < 2 years	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Catering	Adequate catering facilities needed	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Commissioning	Employee training wanted	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Consultation	Ensure two way communication, active involvement and participation (Communication has been lacking until recent years)	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	This project will be developed with community participation. Neighbours communicated to at regular key project milestone achievements through - Letters and fact sheets, website, newspaper adverts, Pinjarra newsletter and through a bi-annual house visit.	Project consultation process to continue	Response accepted	Neighbour Day – newsletter/invitation Project Open day held on 22/10 also 1/11 at PJ Fair Section 7.1 of EPS
Consultation	Communication has been lacking until recent years	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	Alcoa has developed an extensive list of stakeholders and will ensure the Pinjarra Refinery newsletter will be distributed to all residents of Shire of Murray Regular updates will be mailed out.	Project consultation in place.	Response accepted	Section 7.1 of EPS
Consultation	Risk of negative image for the	Neighbours/Farming	Alcoa endeavours to keep		Response accepted	

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
	area due to bad publicity	Group (Sept 3)	all stakeholders regularly informed of the project. A stakeholder matrix has been developed. We will liaise face to face with anyone who signals a problem or issue with the project. Alcoa will listen to, and respect people's views.			
Design	Employee involvement wanted	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	Asset Owner Team Leaders have been appointed to ensure adequate representation on the project team. Their role is to ensure involvement from all areas of the workforce	Ensure project procedures implemented	Response accepted	
Employment	More local employment	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			Section 7.2 of EPS
Employment	Workforce structure in the future	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Employment	Will there be any more jobs at Alcoa?	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Employment	Size of the construction workforce	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)				Section 2.3 of EPS
Employment	More permanent employees compared to contractors	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Fairbridge	Both a neighbour and tenant for Alcoa	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	As a sponsor of Fairbridge, Alcoa will ensure that its needs are met.	Continue current neighbour and commercial relationships.	Response accepted	Section 7.2 of EPS
Fairbridge	Impact on eco-village and eco-tourism at Fairbridge	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	As a sponsor and board member of Fairbridge, Alcoa is committed to		Response accepted	Section 7.2 of EPS

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
			maintain and improve its eco-tourism objectives			
Neighbourhood	Risk to lifestyle	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	Alcoa will endeavour to ensure there are no significant changes to the refinery operation that will impact beyond our boundary		Response accepted	Sections 7.2, 7.3 of EPS
Property values	Property values in the area could decline	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	This project will build confidence and deliver economic benefits to the area that should provide encouragement to people to live in the area		Response accepted	

ECONOMIC Issues Raised

Issues Raised by SRG

Issue	Details	Identified by (date)	Alcoa Responses	Actions	Status	Information Provided
Business	More spending locally	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Business	Will there be more work for local contractors?	CCN/Residents Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Business	Improve understanding of how to do local business with each other. Improve business liaison by Alcoa.	Business Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Business	Develop a local business directory	Business Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Business	Attract more business to local area	Business Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Catering	Local businesses to tender for catering service	Workforce Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
Property values	Property values in the area could decline	Neighbours/Farming Group (Sept 3)	<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
	Improve the communication about radiation to the community		<i>To be addressed as part of ongoing consultation</i>			
	Add Peter's list from the employees to the Register.					